INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED LEGAL STUDIES

SCHOOL OF ADVANCED STUDY



UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

Clare Cowling Associate Research Fellow and Director, Legal Records at Risk Project

Legal Records at Risk: questionnaire for users

What ar	re your contact details?		
	Name (optional)		
•	Email address (optional)		
•	Field of interest (please specify eg legal history, social history, local history, politics, sociology, geography, genealogy, race, gender, class, property, famous cases or events)		
•	Research institution (if applicable)		
	Reason for research (please specify eg personal pleasure, family history, school project, university undergraduate or postgraduate programme, academic research programme, journalism, politics, research for a book, article or media programme)		
	are the records you currently use in your research		
located? Tick all that apply			
•	Records in The National Archives		
•	Records in local, specialist or company archives		
•	Records still held by the creating bodies		
•	Private papers held by their owners		
•	Accessible via the internet – location irrelevant		
What ca that app	ategories of legal records do you currently use? Tick all oly		
•	Papers of or relating to individuals eg judges, barristers		
•	Court records		
• ;	State or local government records		
•	Company records		
•	Records of local events, properties or families		
	Individual documents eg deeds, wills, certificates, contracts		
•	Records of law firms		
	Other (please specify)		
If (more	e) readily available, would you use any of the following?		
•	Records of patent agents		
•	Records of legal executives		
•	Records of legal publishers		
•	Records of legal stationers		

	<u> </u>	
•	Records of local law centres	
•	Records of major law firms	
•	Solicitors' case/client files	
•	Arbitration records	
•	Mediation records	
•	Conciliation records	
•	Other (please specify)	
	ssues do you think might prevent the above from being	
collect	ed and made available for research?	
•	Concerns over confidentiality	
•	Lack of interest from the archival community	
•	Lack of archival resources to actively collect the records	
•	Lack of archival resources to catalogue and preserve the	
	records	
•	Lack of interest from the research community	
•	Lack of interest or understanding as to potential value on	
	the part of the information creators/owners	
•	Digital obsolescence (ie the records do not survive long	
	enough to be collected in their born-digital format)	
•	Other (please specify)	
What o	lo you think could or should be done? Tick all that apply	
•	Nothing – no-one is interested in these records	
•	Archives should revise their collections policies to include	
	more legal records	
•	Local and specialist archives should be given better	
	resources to collect and manage these records	
•	A central archive for legal records should be created	
•	A group of archive consultants should be created to	
	investigate, appraise, list and arrange transfer of legal	
	records to the appropriate repository	
•	There should be better classification of legal records	
	already held in archives to enable them to be more readily	
	identified and accessed	
•	More legal records should be digitised/made available in	
	their original or upgraded digital formats to improve	
	accessibility	
•	The research community should lobby potential	
_	repositories to actively collect legal records	
•	The research community should actively request access to	
	legal records from the information owners Information owners should be made aware of the	
•	potential research value of their records	
	•	
•	An appropriate body should take the lead on rescuing these records and making them available eg The National	
	Archives; the Business Archives Council; the British Records	
	Association; the University of London; The Law Society	
	(please specify)	
•	Other suggestions? (please specify)	
	other suggestions: (picuse specify)	<u> </u>